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## Foreword by GM Nikita Petrov

I first met Alexey at one of the countless junior tournaments in the south of Russia in which we competed. It was immediately obvious to me that he not only had a rare passion for chess, but was also a diligent worker. In fact, one may argue that Alexey's scientific approach to chess is one of the reasons why he is not yet a grandmaster (although he will surely become one). However, his broad opening erudition and positional understanding certainly eclipse those of many strong GMs.

The topic of the book you are holding in your hands does not really require an introduction. The Grünfeld Defence is not only an extremely popular opening at all levels of practical chess, but has also been analysed by many esteemed authors. Still, Alexey's work is unique in the way it combines a state-of-the-art repertoire for Black with emphasis on typical Grünfeld middlegames. By guiding the reader through a number of carefully-chosen games in some of the most important variations, Alexey showcases a variety of key strategic concepts. Obviously, no serious research of such a topical opening can be possible without concrete analysis, and Alexey has presented innumerable novelties which readers may use to their benefit.

I firmly believe that working on one's openings yields the best results when studying theory is combined with developing a feel for the middlegame positions. This is arguably where the strongest point of Alexey's work is. I am sure the reader will enjoy learning from Alexey, and that a lot of victories in the Grünfeld will be a well-earned reward.

## Nikita Petrov

Novorossiysk
February 2020


## Introduction

As you are almost certainly aware, the Grünfeld Defence arises after the opening moves $\mathbf{1 . d 4} \mathbf{f 6}$



The Grünfeld is a top-class opening which has long featured in the repertoires of World Champions and other elite players. So how did it originate and what makes it such a tough opening for White to deal with? This introduction will answer those questions while offering a glimpse at what is to come in this book.

## Early History

The first recorded occurrence of the above position dates back to 1855 , when the Indian player Moheschunder Bannerjee played it against Scotland's John Cochrane, in Calcutta. Bannerjee was unsuccessful and Cochrane, a strong attacking player whose 4.0 xf7 gambit against the Petroff still features in modern theory books, won by smothered mate in just 21 moves. Bannerjee is one of the reasons why $1 . \mathrm{d} 4$ f6 set-ups where Black refrains from occupying the centre with $1 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ are collectively known as the Indian Defences.

The database contains no more examples of our theme until 1922, when the following game took place.

Albert Becker - Ernst Grünfeld
Vienna (4), 19.03.1922

## 

Legend has it Grünfeld was going to play a King's Indian set-up with 4...d6, but he accidentally carried the pawn two squares ahead! Probably not true, but an amusing story nonetheless.
 0－0 9．0－0 cxd4 10．cxd4 © 6 11．思e3 嵬g 4

Following the appearance of Alekhine＇s Defence in 1921，another new opening emerged with familiar ideas：having allowed White to occupy the centre with his pawns，Black attacks them using pieces and pawns．The following year was when Reti first started playing the opening that bears his name（ 1.0 f 3 d 5 2．c4）， so the early 1920s was truly a golden era for the development of hypermodern opening systems．

 15．${ }^{(2) x b 7 ? ~}$

There was no good reason for White to weaken his kingside structure－and certainly not to give up the exchange．

## 



## 18．．．欮a3？

This squanders most of Black＇s advantage．
18．．．afc ！would have activated Black＇s last piece while preventing 悤c4．The a－pawn will soon fall，and Black should have no trouble converting his material advantage．

 25．思e3
$1 / 2-1 / 2$
Grünfeld played the same opening three more times in 1922 against strong opponents：he made a solid draw against Sämisch，outplayed and beat Kostic from a level position，and even came back from a worse position against Alekhine，exploiting some errors from the future World Champion to score an impressive victory．

## Subsequent Developments

The idea of ceding the centre did not comply with the prevailing chess principles of the early 1920 s，and Black＇s opening was considered risky to say the least．Traditionalists attempted to refute it and in many games Black experienced some kind of problem in the opening，but the Grünfeld Defence was in no hurry to leave the foreground and move to the archive． Thanks to the efforts of Botvinnik，Smyslov， Simagin，Flohr and other leading players and theoreticians，the 1930s saw the Grünfeld enriched with many valuable strategic ideas．As with all openings，the process of discovery has continued over the decades，with new plans， resources and refinements being discovered for both sides．

## Why play the Grünfeld？

For almost a hundred years now，the Grünfeld has proven its resilience and vitality at the
highest level of competition, with modern engine analysis confirming the absolute correctness and soundness of Black's play. The lack of symmetry in the main variations predetermines a full-blooded battle, and the prospects of a sharp middlegame and/or a double-edged endgame has attracted such virtuosos as Stein, Korchnoi, Fischer and Kasparov to Black's cause. The list of great players who have played the Grünfeld is practically endless; however, among modern grandmasters, Peter Svidler, Alexander Grischuk, Ian Nepomniachtchi and Maxime Vachier-Lagrave (MVL) are perhaps the most prominent of the elite players who specialize in it and whose games are worth studying.

Although strategic principles are important, the Grünfeld gives rise to numerous sharp, forcing variations, many of which have been analysed through to the endgame. Lazy chess players will have a hard time in such a battleground, with either colour. However, the rewards are more than worth the effort: a well-prepared Grünfelder has excellent chances to seize the initiative and win convincingly against weaker players - and against stronger players, you can resist by virtue of the fact that you are playing high-quality, purposeful moves. White's task of finding an opening advantage against the Grünfeld has become increasingly difficult over the years, and the fact that "the AntiGrünfeld" (typically beginning with 1. $\dagger \mathrm{f} 3$ ©f6 $2 . \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{~g} 63.0 \mathrm{c} 3$ ) has become a recognised opening already says a lot. Some strong players have reverted to weird ideas including an early h2-h4 or g2-g4; but as we will see, a wellprepared Grünfelder should be delighted to encounter such moves.

## Strategy

Although the Grünfeld Defence may give rise to different pawn structures, by far the most characteristic of them is shown below:


Having allowed the opponent to create a substantial pawn centre, Black will attack it with all his might. The dark-squared bishop eyes the d4- and c3-pawns, and ...c5 will increase the pressure. The b8-knight often goes to $c 6$, although this will depend on whether or not d 4 - d 5 is a good reply. If White defends the d4-point with an early 9 f3, Black will often pin it with ...臱g. Other possibilities for Black involve ... ${ }^{4} \mathrm{a}$ a 5 to attack c3, and possibly .... ${ }^{\text {g }} \mathrm{f}$ d8 after castling.

Obviously White has his own resources and there are various ways in which he can develop his pieces and support his centre. In the remainder of this introduction, I will offer a short preview of each of the sixteen chapters that comprise the repertoire I am advocating in this book.

## Chapter 1

1.d4 $0 \mathrm{f6} 2 . \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{~g} 6$ is the starting point for the book. Before we arrive at the Grünfeld proper, the first chapter deals with the popular 3.f3, preparing e2-e4 without allowing the characteristic Grünfeld structure after ...d5 followed by a knight trade on c3.

## Chapter 12

## Exchange Variation

## Variation Index
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A1）10． $\mathbf{⿷}_{\text {d }} \mathrm{d} 3$ ..... 337
A2）10．鬼e2 ..... 338
B）7． $\mathbf{g} \mathbf{g} 5$ Game 5 ..... 341
 ..... 350
C1）8． 息b $b!? ~_{~}^{\text {？}}$ ..... 351
C2）8．餛a3 ..... 353
C3）8．${ }^{[4} \mathrm{m} b 3$ ..... 354
 ..... 356
D1） 11.94 ..... 359
D2）11．0－0 Game 6 ..... 360

B） $7 . \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{g} 5$

## GAME 5

## Reinhard Wegelin－Hans－Joachim Quednau

Email 2013
 5．e4 匂x 3 6．bxc3 思g77．思g5


White develops the bishop actively，with one possible plan being ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{d} 2$ and 蒐 h 6 to remove the Grünfeld bishop．The bishop also pins the e7－pawn，while tying the black queen to its defence．On the other hand，unlike the 恩e3 lines examined in the next chapter，the bishop does not support the d4－pawn．

The present variation is rather young，having first been tested at a high level by Yuri Kruppa， against Ganguly in Dubai 2004．The Ukrainian GM played it in several more games，so it would not be unreasonable to call 7．${ }^{\text {思 }} \mathrm{g} 5$ the Kruppa Variation．It has subsequently been used by such grandmasters as Navara，Nakamura， Ponomariov，Korobov，Milov，Krasenkow and Nyback，and is generally a good choice for those who like to avoid mainstream theory and reach an original position relatively early．

## 7．．．c5

Black challenges the centre in the usual way．

## 8．${ }^{\text {n }} \mathrm{c} 1$ ！

Already White needs an＇only move＇to avoid falling into difficulties．The text move indirectly defends the d4－pawn by tactical means，while also defending the c3－pawn and thus preparing $\mathrm{d} 4-\mathrm{d} 5$ ，gaining space and avoiding an accident on the d 4 －square．Other continuations are clearly worse，for instance：

8． f 3 ？！ 0 c6 gives White nothing better than 9． $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{b} 5$ ，which is covered under the $8 . \mathrm{T} \mathrm{B} \mathrm{b} 5 \dagger$ ？！ line below．

8．${ }^{\text {mir }} \mathrm{d} 2$ ？is senseless，and $8 \ldots . . c x d 4$ 9．cxd4

 compensation for the missing pawn in Dresher －LeCours，corr． 2013.

8．思 $\mathrm{b} 5 \dagger$ ？！has been tried in a few correspondence games but the bishop only interferes with White＇s play：8．．． 0 c 6 9． C e2（another game continued 9． 0 ff 3 cxd 4 10．0xd4 0－0 11．思xc6

 deplorable situation in Heilala－Lahdenmaeki， corr．2010）9．．．0－0 10．思e3 White walks headfirst into a simple tactical refutation：

 13．0－0 cxd4 14．0xd4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{M}$ a $4-+$ In M．Brown －A．Johansen，corr．2013，Black enjoyed a healthy extra pawn as well as two powerful bishops in an open position．


## 8．．．0－0

Black should evacuate his king from the centre before undertaking anything active．

The tactical point of White＇s last move is revealed after 8．．．cxd4 9．cxd4 宽xd4？？10．岂xc8！明xc8 11．斯xd4 when White＇s bishops will easily outwork Black＇s rook and pawn．

## 9．0f3

White develops the knight and defends the d4－pawn，at the cost of allowing a ．．． $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{g} 4$ pin． Two other continuations have been tried：

9． C 2 seems a strange choice．9．．．h6 10．思e3
 in Unander－Maatman，Vlissingen 2018， when Black missed a nice opportunity：


13．．．f5！ N 14．exf5 宽xf5 15．思e2 骂ad8 16．c4

well placed to attack the weak pawns on a2 and c 4 ．

White can also relieve the central tension immediately：
$9 . \mathrm{d} 5$ 蹊 d 6
The most common continuation is 10.0 f 3 ， when 10．．．思g4 leads straight to our main line below．However，White also has some independent possibilities：


10．思e2！？
10．克d3 e6 11．c4 exd5 12．exd5 was played in Kokeza－Nagy，Senta 2013， when Black missed a powerful resource： 12．．．b5！N 13．包3 bxc4 14．思xc4 4 d7 15．0－0 $0 \mathrm{~b} 6 \rightleftarrows$ White already has to worry about maintaining the balance due to the pressure on the d5－pawn．

 in S．Ernst－Van Kampen，Wijk aan Zee 2013，when a natural and strong continuation would be： $15 \ldots$ ．．．惫d7N 16．0－0崽c6 17．f3 欮 $\mathrm{d} 7 \bar{\mp}$ Black＇s pieces are well positioned and his ideas include ．．．品d8 and ．．．${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{d} 5$ ．
The text move is a cunning attempt to prepare f3 without allowing the knight to be pinned to the queen，but Black can exploit the slightly timid nature of the bishop move by striking at the centre：
10．．．f5！11．exf5 思xf5 12． 9 f3

In A．Rasmussen－M．Andersen，Helsingor 2019，Black could have continued the central theme with：


12．．．e6！N 13．惫c4 b5！14．思xb5 exd5 15．0－0 id $7 \infty$
Black＇s pieces are beautifully placed and he certainly does not stand worse．

## 9．．．䣽 9 ！

Black increases the pressure on the d4－pawn， virtually forcing White to advance it．On occasion，we can exchange the bishop for the enemy knight in order to better control the central dark squares．

## 10．d5

Capturing space while safeguarding the central pawn is natural and logical．

10．畕e2？simply led to the loss of a pawn after
 ©c6F in Bjorksten－Sarkar，Las Vegas 2015.

10．⿷⿱㇒⿸⿻日丿乚厶心夊3 loses a tempo with the bishop and thus is hardly a way to fight for the advantage．
 in Manush－Abhishek，New Delhi 2007. The position is similar to variation B3 of the next chapter on page 380，but here Black has been given the move ．．．． $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{g}$ for free！It＇s not enough for Black to claim an advantage，but it certainly does his position no harm．A good continuation is：



 Dd 60 Black has a good position．

## 10．．．遫d6！

The queen has a reputation for being a bad blockader，but here we see an exception to the rule．In this instance，it is useful to take control over the e5－and f4－squares，in preparation for Black＇s central idea：a blow in the centre with ．．．f5．
The immediate $10 \ldots$ ．．f5？！is well met by
 the initiative，as demonstrated in a number of games．

## 11．息e2

White continues developing while avoiding any damage to his kingside structure，so it is hardly surprising that this is the most common move by far．On occasion，White may also have the interesting tactical possibility of e4－e5．

11．断d2？！allows White＇s kingside to be
 14．0－0 f4 Black threatens to trap the bishop with ．．．h6，so White has to hit the self－destruct button for his pawn centre： $15 . \mathrm{e}^{5}$ xe5
 In Llupa－Stella，Biella 2015，Black had an extra pawn as well as the more active pieces， especially the rook on $f 4$ ．

A rare continuation is：

## 11．h3 恩xf3 12．씄xf3

It makes no sense to spoil the pawn structure with 12．gxf3？© d 7 13．蹓d 2 as played in Koller－Heigerer，Neumarkt am Wallersee 2016，when the thematic 13．．．f5！ N would have given Black an even better version of the previous note：14．exf5 品xf5 15．悤e2 品af8 16．思e3 c4－＋White＇s position is riddled with pawn weaknesses and Black should be winning with accurate play．
12．．． 9 d 7 13．． B b 5
I also checked the more relaxed 13．思e2 f5
 ©f7 18．悤e3 思e5 19．0－0 f4 $\uparrow$ when White has a hard time dealing with Black＇s initiative．
 17．⿷匚 m b1 b5 18．思c2
Here we can improve on Black＇s play from Altanoch－Buker，corr．2012，with a highly thematic move：


## 18．．．f5！ N

Black has great counterplay．My main line continues：

 름． 8 戸

Black will restore material equality by picking up the pawn on c3．In the resulting endgame，he has some winning chances due to his superior activity and chances to create a passed pawn on the queenside．

Black also has no problems after：
11．䠦b3 思xf3 12．gxf3 b6
White＇s two bishops are less significant than his spoiled pawn structure．
13．思e3
White spends a tempo relocating his exposed bishop to a safer home．
The overoptimistic 13．f4？was played in Sieciechowicz－Shishkin，Baia Sprie 2010， when Black missed a clear refutation of his opponent＇s mistake： $13 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 6$ ！ N 14．e5 部c7 15．思h4 思xe5！After this combination， White＇s position falls apart．16．fxe5 蹓xe5 $\dagger$ 17．思e2 2 蹈e 4 ！－－Black regains the piece with a decisive advantage．
An interesting correspondence game continued：13．h4！？©d7 14．思b5（17） 15．者f1 気h5 16．品e1 品ac8 17．c4 品c7 18．畄c2 思e5 It is worth paying attention to how Black established control over the
 22．思xf4 ©xf4 $\overline{\text { F Black＇s unassailable knight }}$ was clearly stronger than the enemy bishop in Nyvlt－Gburek，corr． 2012.
13．．．f5！14．h4 d7 15．h5 气e5 16．思e2f4
Again Black has a powerful clamp on the dark squares，although White may try the witty countermeasure：


17．悤d4！？cxd4 18．cxd4 哭fc8！
You should not cling to the extra material， but rather activate your pieces．
 22．${ }^{\text {Mir }} \mathrm{xc} 5$ bxc5 $23 . \mathrm{hxg} 6 \mathrm{hxg} 6 \mp$

The resulting endgame was not so simple in Neves－Stone，corr．2012．Despite the equal material and opposite－coloured bishops，the presence of rooks along with certain positional advantages enable Black to press for a win without risk．Such endgames were masterfully played by Anatoly Karpov．


## 11．．．${ }^{\text {Cl}} \mathbf{d} 7$ ！

It is important to establish full control over the e5－square before doing anything rash．

11．．．f5？was a mistake in Pitterson－Elsness， Khanty－Mansiysk（ol）2010，in view of the following rebuttal：12．e5！N 墨xe5 13．0xe5
 White threatens to push his d－pawn even further，as well as simply taking on $f 4$ ．

## 12．0－0

This is the usual continuation，simply finishing development．
骂fe8 when problems are in store for White．A good example continued：14．irgb5 e6 15． 18 c4
 b5 20．0b6 包xb6 21．axb6 解d7 22．解d3
 Jenkinson－Fenwick，corr．2008．White has no real compensation for the pawn，as the passer on d6 is firmly blocked．

A few high－level games have continued： $12 . \mathrm{h} 3$思xf3 13．思xf3 b5 14．0－0（14．c4 was played in Nyback－Svidler，Khanty－Mansiysk 2009，
 17． a a $4 \mathrm{f} 5 \rightleftarrows$ would have brought about the collapse of White＇s pawn centre，forcing him
 16．${ }^{\text {Hige }}$ e3 In Jorgensen－P．H．Nielsen，Horsens 2013，it would have been logical to plunge the knight into the heart of White＇s position：


 transformation has resulted in a slight edge for Black，due to the possibility of creating a distant passed pawn on the queenside．

Finally，strengthening the centre with 12．c4！？ is not a bad option，although Black has a few reasonable ways to play against it．12．．．e6 （12．．．f5！？13．exf5 思xf3！？14．思xf3 思d4 is a dynamic option，but after 15 ．悤h4 4 e5 16．悤g3 0xf3 $\dagger$ 17．gxf3 蹓f6 18. ．fxg6 hxg6 19．0－0
 a draw in a balanced position in Koistinen－ Muukkonen，corr．2014）13．0－0 exd5 14．exd5

 g5 22．思g3 f5 23．思xe5 飶xe5＝The position remained equal in Avotins－Cerrato，corr． 2014.


## 12．．．f5！

Black must undermine the enemy centre before he suffocates from a lack of space．

## 13．${ }^{2} 44$ ！

White needs to produce this precise move to avoid falling into a worse position．

I encountered 13．悤h4？！悤xf3 14．寄xf3 in ＇Witik＇－＇Kovalchuk94＇，Internet（blitz）2019， when I missed an opportunity to win material：


14．．．悤h6！N The primary threat is ．．．g5， trapping the bishop．White has nothing better
 gxf5 $\mp$ when his compensation for the exchange is clearly insufficient．

13． 0 d2？！also cannot be recommended for White．13．．．恩xe2 14．${ }^{\text {aig xe2 }}$ was seen in

Reis－Pawelzik，Bavaria 2016，when Black could have advantageously forced matters with：


包xc4干 Black＇s excellent minor pieces are clearly stronger than White＇s rook and pawn， especially as the c3－and d5－pawns are weak．

13．思e3？！allows Black to establish a typical bind on the central dark squares：13．．．f4 14．思d2 思xf3 15．思xf3 a6 16．品b1 b5 17．a4品ab8 18．axb5 axb5

 White＇s position was unpleasant with the bishops unable to show their strength in M．Rudolf－Laghetti，email 2011.

Finally，a simple exchange on f 5 gives Black a lot of activity：13．exf5？！品xf5 14．思h4（14．思e3 was played in Cheng－A．Smirnov，Melbourne 2013，when 14．．． 0 e5！ 15 ． 0 xe5 思xe2

16．枵xe2 思xe5 17．f4 葸g7 18．c4 e6 19．dxe6溉xe $6 \overline{+}$ would have favoured Black：his pieces are well centralized and ．．．ge8 will come next） Now in I．Rivera－Videnova，Tromso（ol） 2014，it would have been good to continue：


14．．．包e5！N 15．思g3 崽xf3 16．gxf3 登af8 17．c4宽h6 18．骂c3 宽f4戸 White is under some pressure，as her kingside structure is broken and the light－squared bishop is passive．

## 13．．．思xe2 14．䠦xe2 fxe4

This is the only move to have been tested from the present position．

The following alternative looks equally playable： 14．．．f4！？N 15．g3 fxg3 16．hxg3 e6 17．奌fd1


17．．．exd5
Trading the central pawns is the simplest way to maintain the balance．
Players who yearn for a more complicated
 $19 . \mathrm{d} 6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{fir} \mathrm{f} 600$ when White＇s passed pawn is securely blocked and the position is rather challenging for both sides．



The endgame is equal，although there are enough imbalances to ensure that any result would still be possible over the board．

## 

White has to exchange his bishop so as not to lose the pawn on d 5 ．

## 16．．．息xf6 17．0f3 b5

Now White has to reckon with the possibility of further queenside advances，leading to the eventual creation of a distant passed pawn．


18．c4
This move is rather double－edged：White removes his pawn from the firing line of the bishop，but the scope of the bishop increases and the possibility of a passed a－or b－pawn becomes more real．
I also considered $18 . a 4 \mathrm{~N}$ a6 19 ． m fd 1踇f8 20．axb5 axb5 21．h4 b4 22．cxb4 cxb4
 pawn is certainly an asset，and White needs to play precisely to avoid becoming worse．

## 18．．．b4

Black now has an obvious plan of ．．．a5－a4 before deciding how to advance further．

## 

Black anticipates a possible 造e6 attack，and prepares to transfer the queen to b7 to support ．．．b3．

Another tempting continuation is：
21．．．．＂fb 8 ？22．घe6
Other moves lead to problems for White：
 be hard pressed to stop the queenside pawns．

 26．䠦xf5 gxf5 27．©xe7 b3 28．axb3 a3－＋the a－pawn cannot be stopped．

 29． 9 g 5 思 $\mathrm{f} 6=$

Black must settle for a repetition，as 29．．．b3？ allows $30 .{ }^{3} \mathrm{xg} 6 \dagger$ with a decisive attack．


22． One $^{2}$ ！
White must create counterplay before the queenside pawns continue their march．The text move prepares any of $2 \mathrm{c} 6,0 \mathrm{xg} 6$ or 4.

 White has some problems．

## 22．．．${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{H}$ b7

Black continues his plan and threatens ．．．b3．

## 23．0．c6？

Planting the knight on c6 appears tempting but is actually a serious mistake which allows the b－pawn to advance further．

White defended better in an earlier correspondence game：
23．ene3！？思xe5
I also checked 23．．．घa6N 24．．．． not 24 ．．．号fa8？due to $25.0 \mathrm{~g} 4 \rightarrow$ with danger on the kingside） $25 .{ }^{[3 x e 5}$ b3 $26 . a x b 3 \mathrm{axb} 3$



 game ends with a perpetual check．
24．品xe5 b3 25．axb3 axb3 26．欮c3 品2 27．蹓e3
歇xf1 $\dagger$ 31．冨xf1

In Koegler－Canovas Pardomingo，corr． 2009，the rivals agreed to a draw．The finish would have been：

 With perpetual check．

## 23．．．b3！24．${ }^{\text {aig }} \mathrm{m}$ b1

24．axb3 axb3 also leaves White in trouble． A sample continuation is 25 ．Mige 4 b2！and now
 Black wins in beautiful style：


28．．．${ }^{\text {man }} \times 2$ ！！－＋


## 24．．．e6！！

A great move，sacrificing a pawn to open the e－file．The deeper point is that，once Black has traded a pair of rooks and advanced his pawn to b2，the absence of a pawn on e7 will make it easier for Black to get his queen to f 5 ，breaking White＇s blockade on b1．

## 

This way White at least keeps control over the e－file，but the b2－pawn remains the deciding factor．


 when the passed pawn proves to be stronger than a knight：

 powerless against the terrible threat of
 immediately．

## 

White has no counterplay and the superiority of the bishop over the knight is evident．White has an extra pawn and a protected passer on d 5 ，but the pawn cannot advance as it must stay defending the knight．

29． C e5 is a natural try to reactivate the knight， but it does not save the game： 29 ．．．${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{m}$ b 4
 33．高h3 $\mathrm{taf8}$ The queenside pawns decide matters，for instance：

显h6－＋White has no meaningful threats against the king，while the queenside pawns remain unstoppable．

## 29．．．䠓 f ！

The queen is heading for f 5 ，as discussed previously．

## 30．断e4 鼻d8！

Black continues his plan in the most accurate way，maintaining control of the e7－square in order to prevent a knight fork．
 32．溉d $3 \mp$ White still has chances to resist．

## 31．綅b1


 counterplay against the b－pawn．For instance，
 White can resign．

## 31．．．蹓f5 32．管e1



## 32．．．${ }^{\text {and }} \mathrm{g} 7$

Black has time to improve his king．
 accurate play．

## 

The passed pawn is White＇s last hope，but Black is well placed to deal with it．


36． O $^{\text {xd }}$ ． leaves White with no answer against ．．．岂c1．

##  39．${ }^{2} \mathrm{xa} 3$

悤b4 41．夢h4 臺g6 42．h3 品d2－＋followed by ．．．em c2－c1．

## 

## 0－1

## Abridged Variation Index

The Variation Index in the book is 7 pages long．Below is an abridged version giving just the main variations，not the sub－variations．

## Chapter 1

1．d4 ©f6 $2 . \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{~g} 63 . f 3 \mathrm{c} 5$
A） $4 . \mathrm{dxc} 5$ ！？ 18
B） $4 . \mathrm{d} 519$

B） 4.0 f 3 思 $\mathrm{g} 75 . \mathrm{M} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{a} 4 \dagger 208$

Chapter 7
1．d4 分f6 $2 . \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{~g} 6$ 3．©c3 d5

Chapter 2

Chapter 8
1．d4 年62．c4 g6 3．0c3 d5
A） $5 . \stackrel{2 m}{2} \mathrm{a} 4$ ！？ 37
A） 4 ． m m b 3 ！？ 220
B） 5.0 f 39
B） 4.9 f 3 思 $\mathrm{g} 75 . \mathrm{M} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{b} 3224$

C） $5 . \mathrm{cxd} 562$

## Chapter 3

1．d4 年62．c4g63．0c3 d5
A） $4 . f 3$ ？！ 75
A） $8 . e 5247$
B） $4 . \mathrm{h} 4$ ？！ 76
B） $8 . \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{⿷}}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{e} 2!276$

C） 4.9 f 379
Chapter 4 1．d4 4 f6 $2 . c 4$ g 6

A） 3.0 f 3 B 思 $\mathrm{g} 74 . \mathrm{e} 391$
B） $3.9 \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{~d} 54 . \mathrm{e} 396$
Chapter 10

A） 5.0 f 3 鳃 g 7291
A1）6．Mira $4 \dagger$ ！？ 292
A2）6．思g5！？ 294
B） $5 . \mathrm{g} 3298$
Chapter 5
C） 5 ． 0 a 4 ！？ 307
1．d4 風6 $2 . \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{~g} 6$ 3． C c 3 d 5
A） 4. 思 $^{\mathrm{g}} 5119$
B） 4.9 f 3 崽 $\mathrm{g} 75 . \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{g} 5133$

## Chapter 6

1．d4 合62．c4 g6 3．0c3 d5
A） $4 . \mathrm{B} \mathrm{m} 4164$
B） 4.9 f 3 思 $\mathrm{g} 75 . \mathrm{B} \mathrm{G} \mathrm{f} 4187$

A） 6.9 f 3317
B） $6 . e 4320$
Chapter 11

5．宽d2 宽 g 7

## Abridged Variation Index

Chapter 12
1．d4 合62．c4 g6 3．0）c3 d5 4．cxd5 气xd5
5．e4 用xc3 6．bxc3 思g7
A）7．鬼a3 333
B） 7 ． $\mathbf{W}_{⿷} \mathrm{~g} 5341$
C） 7 ．${ }^{\text {Mig }} \mathrm{a} 4 \dagger$ ！？ 350
D） 7 ．思 $\mathrm{b} 5 \dagger$ ！？ 356

Chapter 13

5．e4 全xc3 6．bxc3 宦g7
A）7．惫e3 369
B） $7.9 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{c} 58 . \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{e} 3374$

## Chapter 14



A） 8. 思 c 4400
B） $8 . h 3$ ！？ 403
C） 8 ．思 e 2405
D） $8 . \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{b} 5 \dagger 411$


Chapter 15
1．d4 包6 $2 . \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{~g} 63.0 \mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{~d} 54 . \mathrm{cxd} 5$ 气xd5 5．e4 会xc3 6．bxc3 思g77．思c4 c5 8．它e2 06

A） $9 . d 5444$
B） 9 ．思 e 3447

Chapter 16
1．d4 等6 $2 . \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{~g} 63.0 \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{~d} 54 . \mathrm{cxd} 5 \mathrm{exd} 5$
5．e4 气xc3 6．bxc3 思g77．悤c4 c5 8．De2包c6 9．思e3 0－0 10．0－0 b6！11．dxc5！蹓c7

A） $12 . \mathrm{cxb} 6480$
B） $12.0 \mathrm{~d} 4!483$

