Kotronias on the King's Indian 4

# **Classical Systems**

By

# Vassilios Kotronias

For my children, Adoria, Athanasia and Dimitrios



Quality Chess www.qualitychess.co.uk

# Introduction

Dear readers,

It is with great pleasure that I am able to welcome you to this fourth, penultimate volume on the King's Indian Defence. This volume is dedicated to the rest of the systems that have a Classical flavour, namely the Gligoric, the Makogonov, the Petrosian, the Averbakh, the Karpov, and several types of Exchange Variations. These are obviously systems that required a lot of elaboration on my part, endless hours of analytical work, difficult decisions and a lot of explanation. I hope that the result will vindicate not only me, but also the faithful devotees of the King's Indian all around the world – those who have put their faith and creativity into this wonderful opening, and supported me with their comments and reviews along the way.

At this point, I feel the inner desire to stress something: undertaking the responsibility to write such a repertoire was a task entailing a lot of risk, as the King's Indian has some of the richest tactical and positional content in the whole range of chess openings. In a way I consider myself rather brave for trying to classify and put some order in the chaos, while in another way I feel like a pawn of fate that was destined to do just that. After all, if there is no complete repertoire series on the King's Indian, then chess literature is lacking a vital spark. I would go as far as to say that it is like a family which is missing one of its most charismatic children, flamboyant and adventurous, fighting and full of creativity.

That said, I want to thank all my predecessors who tried to fill this gap. Although I don't know him personally, David Vigorito stands out as a composed writer and analyst, whose articles and surveys have helped me with their accuracy and evaluations. Other prominent examples who guided me with their previous works are GMs John Nunn and Victor Bologan. I hope I will be forgiven by those whose names I have failed to mention in this preface, but they can be sure they have my respect and gratitude for creating the first solid ground I stepped upon in order to explore an exotic and dangerous land.

Vassilios Kotronias Athens, February 2016

# Contents

Introduction Key to symbols used & Bibliography Exercises		3 6 7
	Exchange Variation	
1	9.2d5	14
2	9.違g5 – Introduction	25
3	Various 12th Moves	38
4	12.罩d6!?	56
	Classical Variation	
5	8.dxe5	77
6	8.ዿੈc3	93
	Gligoric	
7	Various 8th Moves	120
8	8.營d2	139
9	8.0-0	151
10	8.d5	181
11	11. <u>\$h4</u>	196
12	13.a3	208
	Petrosian	
13	Various 8th Moves	235
14	8.奠g5	253
15	11.0–0 Main Line	274

# Makogonov

16	Exchange Variation and 8.遑d3	296
17	8.奠e2 and 8.奠e3	317
18	8.ዿ <u>ੈ</u> g5	344
	6.ĝe3 Systems	
19	Karpov	373
20	Larsen	387
21	<u>\$e2/\$e3</u>	398
	Averbakh	
22	7.dxc5	407
23	7.d5	415
24	8.ዿf4 Main Line	442
Var	riation Index	457



6. £e3 Systems



# Karpov

# Variation Index

1.d4 ②f6 2.c4 g6 3. ②c3 違g7 4.e4 d6 5.h3 0-0 6. 흝e3

6...c5!

A) 7.dxc5	374
B) 7.约f3	378
C) 7.d5 e6!	379
C1) 8.奠d3	380
C2) 8. 2 f3 exd5	382
C21) 9.cxd5 邕e8	382
C211) 10. 2 d2	382
C212) 10.	383
C22) 9.exd5	385

A) after 9.e5!?



A) after 17.f4!?



C212) after 11.<sup>(2)</sup>xe4



# 1.d4 2f6 2.c4 g6 3.2c3 2g7 4.e4 d6 5.h3 0-0 6.2e3

This move has been used extensively by Anatoly Karpov, particularly in rapid chess. Its main virtue is keeping open the option of developing the knight to e2 later on. This may prove extremely helpful in a Makogonov structure similar to the ones we've encountered in the previous three chapters, as the set-up of pawns on h3 and g4, and knight on g3, will stifle Black's kingside play and offer White long-term attacking chances.

6.黛g5 and 6.剑ge2 will be covered in Volume 5 of this series.

# 6...c5!

The logical and best reaction. Ladies and Gentlemen, it's time for a Benoni!

6...e5 7.d5 a5 (7...<sup>2</sup>)a6 8.<sup>2</sup> f3 takes play into a Makogonov proper, but without the pawn on a5, tricking us into a line I have chosen not to recommend in the present volume.)



8.g4! 0a6 9.0ge2 h5 10.f3 0c5 11.0d20h7 12.0–0–0 h4 13. $\blacksquare$ g1 The more I looked at this, the less I liked Black's position, as he is unable to exchange dark-squared bishops and is reduced to passivity. Therefore 6...c5! is almost forced in the strategic sense, even though this may sound like an exaggeration on move six.

White options are A) 7.dxc5, B) 7.Df3 and C) 7.d5.





A strange move, after which Black should have no difficulties.

# 7...≝a5 8.ዿd3

8.ዿd2 ∰xc5 (8...dxc5!? 9.e5 ②fd7 10.f4 ②c6 11.②f3 避d8₴, intending ...f6, should also be fine for Black) 9.②f3



9... ②bd7 (or 9... 逸e6!?) 10. 逸e2 b6 11.0–0 營c7 12. 逸e3 逸b7 13. ③d2 e6 14. 罩c1 a6 15. b4 罩ac8 16. 營b3 營b8= A balanced Hedgehog had arisen in Ravikumar – Fuller, Fyn 1980.

#### 8...dxc5 9.e5!?

Trying to block the radius of the g7-bishop

by following up with f2-f4 next, but it seems that this plan cannot successfully materialize.

9. 2673 266 10.0-0 207 is a typical position where Black's control over the dark squares in the centre gives him a slight edge.



#### 9.... @h5!

Golod's move is the best. The knight cannot be captured without consequences, in view of the mounting pressure along the d-file.

# 10.g4

This move, throwing caution to the wind, does not yield White any advantage, but is obviously the only critical choice.

10.2f3 2c6 11.g4  $\Xi d8$  is just a transposition to our main line.

10.f4? ②g3 11.骂h2 骂d8 12.酇c2? (12.峦f2 ②f5 13.營e2 ②c6干 looks awful, but had to be tried) 12...②c6 13.骂d1 ③b4 14.營b1 骂xd3 15.骂xd3 違f5-+ This was a complete disaster for White in Arcuti – Gallagher, Graechen 2013.

# 10....¤d8!

Fully justifying Black's unorthodox knight move.

11.gxh5?  $\&f5\mp$  is, of course, out of the question.

11. We2 is strongly answered by:



11....ĝxe5! 12.ĝd2 ∅f4 13.∅d5 ∅xe2 14.ĝxa5 ≅xd5 15.cxd5 ĝxb2 16.≅b1 ĝc3† 17.∲xe2 ĝxa5∓ Black has a slight advantage in this ending.

11.營c2 is considered by Mikhalevski, who gives the following line in his ChessBase commentary: 11...心c6 12.奠e4 囚d4! 13.營d1



13...f5! (In my view, 13... 兔xe5!? 14.gxh5 兔e6 15. 兔d2 兔xc4 16. 勺f3 營c7 17. 勺xe5 營xe5 18.f4 營e6 19. 內f2 邑d7≅ deserves attention.) 14. 兔d5† e6 15. 兔g2 勺c6 16. 營b3 (16. 營a4?! 營xa4 17. 勺xa4 ᅌb4 18. 內f1 [18. 內e2 ᅌc2 19. 勺f3! ᅌxe3 20. 內xe3 兔h6† 21.g5 ゑf8〒] 18... ᅌc2 19. 兔g5 ᅌxa1 20. 兔xd8 ᅌf4〒 is better for Black) 16... ᅌd4 17. 營d1= With a repetition.

# 11.**Øf**3



# 11....Ôc6!

A strong retort, with the idea of ...<sup>(2)</sup>b4 winning back the piece with an advantage, in case of 12.gxh5?.

# 12.0-0! 🖄 xe5!

12... b4?? 13. d5+- would now be a horrible blunder.

# 13. 2xe5 \$\$xe5 14. 2d5

Mikhalevski points out in ChessBase that 14.gxh5? is bad due to: 14...gxc3 15.bxc3 ĝf5! 16.hxg6 hxg6 17.∰f3 gxd3∓ Black has regained his piece with a considerable advantage.



14.... 创f6 15. 创xe7† 空g7

15...空h8!? is also fine, with a sample line being: 16.營e2 營c7



17.f4 (17.位xc8 罩axc8 18.罩ad1 逸f4=) 17...曾xe7 18.fxe5 曾xe5 19.罩f4 (19.逸f4 曾d4† 20.罩f2 逸e6 21.逸e5 曾xd3 22.逸xf6† 空g8 23.逸xd8 罩xd8=) 19...h5 20.罩af1



#### 16.₩e2

This move is logical, since the e5-bishop is a bit unstable. However, it is not incisive enough.

16.g5!?N 创h5 17.创d5 逸e6! (17...逸xh3? 18.逸d2 凹a6 19.罝e1± with the idea 19...逸d4 20.凹f3 逸e6 21.心c7) 18.逸e4 罝d7 19.罝e1 罝e8= Black has coordinated all his pieces and looks equal to me, although this was the best possibility for White.

#### 16...營c7 17.f4!?

Fressinet's risky move, which should objectively lead to a slightly worse position for White.

17. 2xc8 \arrow axc8 18.\arrow adl has been given as equal by Mikhalevski, but after 18...\arrow f4 19.\arrow fe1 h6 Black has a modest edge.



#### 17.... 巢xb2!N

This bold move should give Black the advantage.

17... 違d4? 18.g5! 创h5 19. 创d5± was slightly better for White in Fressinet – Golod, Biel 2006.

# 18. Wxb2 Wxe7 19. We2 b6! 20. Zae1

Mikhalevski remarks that 20.骂fe1 聲g8 21.骂ad1 違b7 22.g5 ②h5∓ gives Black dangerous pressure on the a8-h1 diagonal.

# 20.... 空g8 21.f5 皇b7 22.皇c2 ②e4!?

My idea, and a natural move at that.

Mikhalevski gives 22...."e5 (to which he

attaches an exclamation mark), but after 23.\2f4 \2xe2 24.\2xe2 \2a6 25.\2b3 the position is only unclear.

22..., Ee8!? is another move I looked at, yet there seems to be nothing more than a draw after the following complicated sequence: 23. 違a4! 曾e4 24. 曾h2 公xg4 25.hxg4 曾xg4† 26. 空f2



26... Ξe5! 27. 違d7! 營e4 28. 並g1! 營g4† (28... Ξd8 29. Ξf4) 29. 並f2 營e4=

#### 23.₩f3!

23.愈c1 罩d4! 24.愈b2 凹d6 25.愈xd4 凹g3† 26.凹g2 凹xg2† 27.峦xg2 凸d2† 28.峦f2 cxd4∓ gives Black the slightly better ending.

# 23...営d7 24.fxg6 hxg6 25.皇c1 邕e8



26.8f4! Wd6 27.2a4 2c6 28.2xc6 Wxc6

# 29.ĝb2 g5∓

Black has a tiny edge, although White should be able to nullify it and achieve a draw.

B) 7.创f3

Karpov's favourite move. White is trying to keep the position fluid and avoid a Benoni structure, at least for the time being.

# 7...cxd4 8. 2xd4



#### 8...b6!?

Black will opt for a Hedgehog set-up, trying to take advantage of the fact that White has played the rather useless h2-h3. That said, the position is quite delicate and Black has to treat it with care.

# 9.覍d3

This has been Karpov's preferred move in all his games from this position.

# 

All the moves so far have been logical and solid for both sides. White would now like to overprotect the pawns at c4 and e4, in order to free the d3-bishop from the burden of defending them.

# 12.b3 2c5 13.f3 e6 14.gb1

So far we have been following Karpov – Bacrot, Cap d'Agde 2013, when I think Black should have chosen:



# 14...d5!?N

Despite the fact that this entails some risk and looks rather drastic, I am satisfied with Black's chances.

# 15.b4! 2a6 16.b5

16.cxd5 exd5 17.e5 ∰e7! 18.f4 ∆xb4 19.∂db5 ⊘e4 is equal.

# 

18...<sup>w</sup>e8!? is also possible.



#### 19.\extsf{el}!?

I don't see any problems for Black after: 19.f4 创fe4 20.创xe4 dxe4 21.赠e2 (21.创c6 逸xc6 22.bxc6 罝fd8 23.逸xc5 bxc5 24.赠a4 e3 25.營e4 e2 26.營xe2 罩xc6 27.逸d3 啟h8= should be a draw because of the oppositecoloured bishops) 21...罝fd8 22.心c6 逸xc6 23.bxc6 罩xc6 24.逸xe4



24...心xe4! 25.罩xc6 公g3 26.營c4 公xf1 27.亞xf1 臺f8 28.亞g1 營e8 (28...罩d7!?) 29.罩c7 b5 30.營b3 a5=

# 19.... <br /> "we5!? 20. <br /> ②f5! <br /> 圖c7 21. <br /> ②xg7 <br /> 查xg7

Despite Black's weakness on the dark squares, there is nothing concrete.

# 22.<u></u>d4

22.∰d2 Ξfe8∞; 22.∰d4 ∰d8 23.ᡚe2 Ξe8 24.ᡚf4 ∲g8 25.ዿd2 ∰d6 26.ዿc3 Ξxe1† 27.Ξxe1 ᡚcd7 28.ዿb4 ∰b8 29.ዿd3 Ξe8∞

# 22...營d6 23.兔e5 營d8 24.包e2 包cd7 25.兔d4 罩e8 26.包f4 空g8∞

#### C) 7.d5

I was surprised to see that the 12th World Champion has never actually chosen to play this supposedly critical move, and this led me to consider the possibility that it is not as critical as I first thought, which is indeed the case.



#### 7...e6!

Black has an excellent game and the better practical chances.

#### 7...a6

At first I was attracted to this move, but then I realized that White can maintain an edge with accurate play:

#### 8.a4!

8.61f3 b5 is excellent for Black. 8...e6



9.②f3!

The position is more appealing for White, who will complete his development in a harmonious way and then try to suffocate Black.

The reason I initially wanted to recommend 7...a6 was that the alternative 9. 2d3 exd5 10.exd5 allows Black to equalize with 10...營e8!, preparing to play ... 总h6 under the best possible circumstances:

a) 11.②f3 盒h6 12.0-0 盒xe3 13.fxe3 營xe3† 14.單f2 ②bd7 15.營f1 罩e8 leads to a position where I couldn't see anything really scary for Black.

c) If White plays 11.②ge2, then Black has available the fine idea 11...②bd7 12.0–0 包e5 13.②g3 ②xd3 14.豐xd3 ②d7 15.③ge4 ②e5 16.豐e2 豐e7 17.f4 ③xc4! 18.豐xc4 黛f5 19.黛f2 b5! 20.axb5 axb5= which should eventually equalize.

However, after 9. <sup>(1)</sup>f3! my efforts to find complete equality came to nought, with the main line being:

Black, as we already know, should play for the liberating ... De4 in such structures.

11. ĝd3! ĝxd3 12. <sup>m</sup>xd3 <sup>6</sup>bd7 13.0–0 <sup>6</sup>h5



14.¤ae1!

14.g4 營f6! 15.營d1 ②f4 16.②e4 ③xh3† 17.查h2 營e7 18.②g3 f5! 19.gxf5 gxf5 20.④h5 f4 21.逸d2 ②g5 22.③xg7 ④xf3† 23.營xf3 營h4† 24.營h3 營xh3† 25.查xh3 查xg7 26.疍g1† 查f6 27.逸xf4 ④e5= is just equal. 14...②e5 15.③xe5 逸xe5 16.③e4±

After 7....e6! White's options are C1) 8.堂d3 and C2) 8.创f3.

# C1) 8.臭d3

White keeps the option of 2ge2, which seems logical.

# 8...exd5 9.exd5

In case of 9.cxd5, the typical strike 9...b5! 10.\u00e2xb5 \u00e2xe4! leads to a better position for Black.



# 9....@a6!

A star move, which was first played by Tigran Petrosian. I believe that Black has good chances, for example:

# 10.②f3

10.②ge2 ②b4 11.奠b1 b5! 12.②xb5



12...ዿੈa6! 13.ੴec3 (13.a4 ₩a5! 14.ዿੈd2 ዿੈxb5 15.cxb5 a6∓) 13...ੴfxd5 14.औxd5

 $(14.cxd5 ext{ } ext{ } a57) ext{ } 14...2xd5 ext{ } 15. ext{ } ext{ } xd5 ext{ } ext{ } ext{ } xd5 ext{ } ex$ 

# 10...②b4!

10... (2) c7 was Petrosian's original treatment, but it may not be so good after  $11.a4\pm$ .



11.覍e2!N

11.�\_b1?! b5!! 12.a3



12....₩a5! 13.0-0

13.②d2 bxc4 14.③xc4 營a6 15.axb4 營xa1 16.b5 ②d7 17.0–0 ②b6 18.③xd6 營xb2 19.③ce4 c4 20.奠c5 奠d7 21.罩e1 奠xb5 22.③xb5 營xb5 23.ዿxf8 罩xf8 24.d6 罩d8 25.奠a2 h6 26.營c2 營b2∓ was better for Black in Ju Wenjun – Huang Qian, Khanty-Mansiysk 2012.

13...bxc4 14.ĝf4

After 14.Md2  $\textcircled{O}d3\mp$  Black clearly had the upper hand in Aleksandrov – Kokarev, Moscow 2013.

14.... 違b7 15. 凹d2 心d3 16. 違xd3 cxd3 17. 違xd6 As played in Barbero – Forster, Switzerland 1998. I would like to recommend the strong exchange sacrifice:



17...c4!N 18.ģxf8 ≅xf8 19.∅e5 ģxd5≅ With a tremendous position for Black.

11....皇仔 12.莒c1 包e4! 13.包xe4 皇xe4 14.a3 包a6 15.凹d2 凹f6



# 16.\arrowscale="block-transform: 16.\arrowscale="block-transform: 16.block-transform: 16.block-transform:

17.違g5 is well met by 17...谱f5, when I prefer Black.

# 17...②c7

17...b5!? 18.创g5 象f5 19.cxb5 心c7 20.罝d1 h6 21.创f3 罝ac8 22.创h2!? is double-edged, but White might be better.



# 18. 2g5 皇f5 19. 2f3 皇e4=

A draw by repetition should be the logical conclusion.

# C2) 8.创f3

This is what most people would play.

#### 8...exd5

We will examine both recaptures: C21) 9.cxd5 and C22) 9.exd5.

# C21) 9.cxd5

This proved a nuisance for me, as existing theory does not provide a convincing route to equality for Black. The following piece of analysis summarizes my efforts to show that such a route exists:

#### 9....¤e8

The rarely played 9...b5!? may be possible, when the critical test is of course  $10.e5!^{\infty}$ .

Equally interesting are C211) 10.创d2 and C212) 10.黛d3.

C211) 10. 2d2 a6 11.a4 2xe4! 12. 2cxe4 f5



Black is poised to recover the piece, but extreme accuracy is still required to equalize the chances.

#### 13.覍e2!?N

This untried move, playing for positional compensation, looks like the stiffest test of Black's enterprising play.

13. 2xc5? f4-+ is much weaker.

13. 2xd6 14. 2c4 16



15.g3!N (15.違c2? f4! 16.違xc5 公d7 17.違b4 f3 18.gxf3 營xf3 gave Black a winning position in Barria – Minzer, Torre Blanca 1998) 15...f4! 16.gxf4 營xf4 17.營b3 (17.營c2 營f6 18.違g2 違f5 19.0–0 公d7之) 17...營f3! 18.公d6 c4

19.豐xc4 罩xe3†! 20.fxe3 位d7 21.包xc8 豐xe3† 22.奠e2 奠xb2 23.d6† 查g7 24.罩d1 色e5 25.豐c7† 查h6 26.豐xb7 豐g3† 27.查f1 罩xc8 28.豐xc8 豐f4† 29.壹e1 豐b4† 30.查f1 豐f4†= With a draw by perpetual.

13...fxe4 14. 2c4 a5! 15. 2f4 2f8



16.₩b3!?

16.0–0 ∅a6 17.₩b3 ∅b4 18.₩g3 Ξa6 19.∅d2=



# 21....�e2†! 22.✿h1 �d4₹

The game is approximately equal, with a possible further line being:

# 23.營g3 營xc8 24.違xg6!? hxg6 25.營xg6† 違g7 26.Ξa3

26.₩xe4?! ₩f5∓



# 26...... 18 27. Ie3 If6 28. Wxe4

28.<sup>™</sup>xf6 ዿxf6 29.<sup>™</sup>xe4 ∲f7∓ gives Black the better ending due to the idea of ...b5

#### 28....莒f8 29.莒g3 營f5

The position is ultimately level, but the material imbalance gives rise to chances for both sides.

C212) 10.臭d3



This looks like the most natural reply, as now White is ready to castle.

# 10....<sup>2</sup>xe4!

I have found this drastic idea to be best here, although other ways of playing are of course possible.



16.2h2!? (weaker is 16.2d4 2d5! 17.2h2 because it allows the regrouping 17...2fd7!*¢*) In Dreev – Emms, Hastings 2000, Black had no active counterplay, and White's mobile kingside pawns gave him good chances of an attack. Black went quickly downhill after: 16...2c5 17.2d4  $\blacksquare$ ab8 18.2g4



18...心cd7 (18...心xg4!N should be preferred) 19.心e2 心xe4? 20.逸xe4 骂xe4 21.逸xg7 恷xg7 22.心g3 骂ee8 23.心e4 f6 24.營c3 嘼e5 25.f4 嘼f5

26.心g3 罩xf4 27.罩e7† 查h8 28.營e3 g5 29.心h5 罩xg4 30.hxg4 罩f8 31.罩xh7† 1–0. Although improvements may exist, White's play looks easier and smoother to me, so I decided to suggest the more concrete text move.

#### 11.<sup>2</sup>xe4

The critical response.

After 11.\2xe4 \2xc3\7 12.bxc3 \Zxe4 13.0-0 \2d7 White has some compensation, but it does not look fully sufficient.



# 11...c4!N

I think that this is an important ramification, ensuring good counterplay for Black.

Weaker is 11....f5?!, as played in Clery – Netzer, Bischwiller 2007, due to: 12.∅xd6N ∰xd6 13.0–0 f4 (13...∅d7 14.∰c1!±) 14.ዿd2 ∅d7



15.營a4! 筥f8 16.奠c3 奠xc3 17.bxc3 约b6 18.營b3圭

# 12.鼻c2 f5 13.创fd2!

13.  $2 \times 16$   $2 \times 16$  14.0-0  $2 \times 16^{\pm}$  is much more comfortable for Black compared to the line given above, as the pawn on c4 cramps White.

# 13...fxe4 14.0-0 包a6 15.包xc4 b5 16.包d2 凹h4 17.f3



17...②c5! 18.奠f2 幽g5 19.h4 幽f4 20.g3 幽f7 21.②xe4 ②xe4 22.奠xe4 奠h3 23.罩e1 奠xb2 24.罩b1 奠c3 25.罩e3 b4=

With a double-edged game, offering chances to both sides.



C22) 9.exd5

Obviously trying to keep the position symmetrical is in White's interests, as he would prefer not to come under pressure on e4 with his kingside still undeveloped.

#### 9...b5!?

A bolt from the blue, which is approved by the engines. The idea is somewhat typical, but here it is seen in a very pure, almost primitive, form. Unsurprisingly, it is the great Dragoljub Velimirovic who played this move first.

# 10.cxb5 2bd7!

Black is preparing to pile up on the d5-pawn. This is an improvement over the Serbian Grandmaster's original treatment of  $10...\Xi e8 \ 11.\&e2 \&e4 \ 12.\&xe4 \ \Xi xe4 \ 13.0-0$  a6 14.a4 axb5 15.axb5  $\Xi xa1 \ 16. \& xa1\pm$ , which was a bit better for White in Kosic – Velimirovic, Budva 2003.

# 11.₩b3

11.奠e2 <sup>公</sup>b6 12.<sup>幽</sup>b3 奠b7 13.<sup>三</sup>d1 <sup>三</sup>e8 14.0–0 <sup>公</sup>e4 15.a4 is at least equal for Black, for instance:



#### 11....莒e8 12.奠e2 奠b7 13.0-0 約b6 14.莒fd1

Keeping the rook on a1 looks a good idea for White, but Black's next is really shocking:



#### 14...**¤xe3**!!

In addition to a pawn, Black further sacrifices an exchange – a tremendous conception.

# 15.fxe3 凹e7 16.2h2 凹xe3† 17.空h1 凹f4

This is a really impressive position with wild complications. It seems to be approximately equal.

# 18. 倉子

18.¤f1 ₩g3 19.¤f3 ₩h4↑



18...创fd7! 19.a4 包c4 20.创f1 包a5! 21.鬯c2 f5 22.罩e1

22.�e2 ₩h4∓



22...心e5 23.鼻e2 凹h4 24.心d2 鼻h6 25.心f3 心xf3 26.鼻xf3 鼻f4 27.心e2 鼻e5 28.罩ab1 c4 29.罩bd1 心b3 30.空g1 罩e8 31.心c3 空f7oo

Black's excellent compensation easily proved enough for a draw in Bailey – Mostowik, corr. 2012.

# Conclusion

The Karpov Variation is a rather tricky line where Black needs to know a few things to avoid falling into a strategically inferior position. I chose a system based on ...c5, because I think Black has good chances in either a Benoni structure or a double fianchetto Hedgehog – which is what Karpov wants to reach by choosing to play this fluid position. To be honest, I believe this is practically terra incognita, and I expect a lot of developments in this line in the future.