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## Introduction

Dear readers,

A few years ago, we started a fascinating journey to the King's Indian Defence, and I use the plural for several reasons.

By "we", I firstly refer to all of you who shared my thirst for knowledge about an opening that has its own rules and its own undeniable magic, and either incorporate it into your repertoire or simply derive pleasure from its unique grace. I want to thank you for your interest and patience.

By "we", I also refer to the Quality Chess editorial staff, whose insightful remarks and pointed questions helped me to provide the best recommendations and highest quality of analysis I could muster. Many personal thanks to Andrew, Jacob, John, Colin, Nikos and all others involved.

Lastly, I include myself, for whom I can only say that completing this five-volume series was an arduous task, but I guess that dropping 125 Elo points during the process was a worthy sacrifice. I am proud of the result and I can now return to pure chess-playing with the satisfaction of knowing I have contributed something worthwhile to future generations.

This fifth volume completes the series by dealing with a range of systems. The first six chapters deal with assorted lines where White refrains from occupying the centre with e2-e4. Chapters 7-14 cover various systems involving 3. 0 c3 and 4.e4, where White proceeds without an early f3. We then move on to the ultra-ambitious Four Pawns Attack, before tackling the fearsome Sämisch System.

Despite my obsessive efforts to uncover the truth of the King's Indian in these five volumes, it was inevitable that some mistakes would occur. Fortunately, the publisher granted my request to conclude this final volume with four appendices, detailing any errors and oversights which I became aware of since the previous four books were published. No chess book is perfect, but with these additions I am now satisfied that the series as a whole is as close to perfection as I could have achieved.

My final remarks concern the chess content of the Kotronias on the King's Indian series. While writing these five volumes, I came to understand that I knew practically nothing about chess tactics. My constant research has enriched my chess knowledge and added many new motifs to my arsenal, but the King's Indian is inexhaustible. You should strive to enhance your feeling for its complexities by taking as many 'screen-shots' as possible from the ever-illuminating screen of this great opening, but you will never acquire complete control. Therein lies the key to the fascination of the King's Indian, as well as its Achilles' Heel.

Regarding the weighting of the systems at White's disposal, I can only say four names: Mar del Plata, Makogonov, Gligoric, Sämisch. My research indicates that these are White's most poisonous options, and the ones where the biggest developments should be expected.

I wish you the best, and - who knows? - I might even see you at the chess board!
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## Variation Index

##  <br> 6．．．c5！


A1）8．縦d2 123
A2）8．⿷⿱㇒⿸⿻日丿乚厶心 $\mathrm{d} 3 \quad 124$

B1）9．쓸 d 2 （2h5！ 126
B11）10．鬼2 126
B12）10．息d3 128

B21）13． 0 e 2 ？！ 129
B22）13．品 c 1 踩 $\mathrm{f} 6!14 . \mathrm{h} 4 \mathrm{~g} 4$ ！ 131
B221）15．息d3 132
B222）15．${ }^{\text {O }} \mathrm{e} 2$

A1）note to $8 \ldots$ ．．dxc5！？N


B11）after 14．f4


B222）after 21．0 f1


## 

 h6！6．⿷⿱㇒⿴囗夊心夊 4This move abandons the queenside for the sake of doubtful attacking chances and，as practice has shown，allows Black too much counterplay．

## 6．．．c5！

I believe that Black is already at least equal after this thematic challenge to White＇s centre．The evidence will be revealed after both the prudent A）7．dxc5 and the more ambitious B）7．d5．

7． f f ？！is an error due to $7 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 5$ 8． A g 3 ，as in Suimanov－Rakhmatulaev，Uljanovsk 2015， when 8 ．．．䟹 $a 5!\mathrm{N} \bar{\mp}$ would have been problematic for White．

Another inferior continuation is $7 . \mathrm{e} 5$ ？！ $\mathrm{h} 5 \bar{\mp}$ and the white centre crumbles： $8 . \mathrm{dxc} 5$（8．exd6欮 xd 6 also gives Black the upper hand，since
 12．吉xd2 a6Ғ only makes things worse for
 Black＇s superiority on the dark squares gives him the better chances in the endgame．


With this move White relinquishes any ambitious plans of a substantial space advantage， and instead tries to gain some time to complete his development efficiently．

## 7．．．䃦 5

Thanks to this move，Black recovers his pawn and creates promising counterplay on the dark squares，as their main guardian（the h4－bishop）is a long way from the queenside．Still，the position is pretty balanced at this stage，so Black shouldn＇t become overambitious．


## A1）8．蹓 d 2 dxc 5 ！？N

This is the engines＇top recommendation and a principled move，as Black secures the d 4 －outpost for his pieces．

A reliable alternative is：
8．．．${ }^{4} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{xc} 59 . f 3$ ！？
Dejan Mozetic suggests this move，with the plan of 悤f2，思d3，Oge2 and 0－0．
 played in Otero Acosta－De la Paz Perdomo， Santa Clara 2008，when 11．．．思g4N 12．息f2些a5 13．思e2 e5！$\ddagger$ would have given Black an excellent game．


9．．．悤d7！ N
9．．．${ }^{\text {D b }}$ bd7 was played in Rodriguez Izquierdo－ Torino Caballero，Benidorm 2004，and is also recommended by Mozetic，but I prefer the text move．
 Black has an excellent game，for example：


Finally，I will briefly mention that $8 \ldots . . \mathrm{g} 5$ ！？9．． B g 3㨐 $x c 5$ is another interesting idea，intending to open up the g7－bishop later with ．．．9f6－h5， while gaining time by threatening to exchange the precious g3－bishop．Demakov－Ananskikh， corr．2012，was a well－played game which ended in a draw，but I won＇t go into any further detail as we already have two good options！


## 9．葸xf6

I see nothing better for White．
9．e5 g4 10．0 d5（10．f4？！g5！$\ddagger$ ；10．思xe7
 with the more pleasant ending．

## 

息e6 13．0）e2 0－0－0Black has completed development and will look for a suitable way to open the position for his bishops．For instance：


## 14．＂ad h5 15．a3 f5！

Black has at least equalized．This line seems like the easiest and most convincing answer to 8．．${ }^{[1 \%} \mathrm{d} 2$ ．

A2）8．${ }^{\text {思d3 }}$


## 8．．．dxc5！？N

Mozetic calls this move inferior but I do not agree with his assessment．

A decent alternative is：
8．．．${ }^{4} \mathrm{Mxc}$ 5 9．包ge2
Better was 9．f3！？©c6 10．0ge2 according to
 nothing wrong with Black＇s position．

The chances were balanced in Bakic－Mozetic， Yugoslavia 1992，and the best way to continue would have been pulling the queen back：

 16．0h5 悤e5 $17 . \mathrm{g} 3$

17．f4？！gxf4 18．©xf4 蹓d8
17．h4 $\stackrel{y}{c} \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{~d} 8 \rightleftarrows$ with a dynamic kingside equilibrium．


## 9．f4？！

Mozetic gives this move，planning to develop a central initiative with h2－h3 and 9 f 3 ．However， White is falling too far behind in development． He should settle for a more modest scheme，but in that case it is obvious that Black＇s opening has been a success，in view of his active pieces and control over the d 4 －square．

## 

Already it is clear that the aforementioned plan is too optimistic for White，as both 10.0 f3？ M5！$\mp$ and $10 . \mathrm{h} 3$ ？思e6干 lead to big problems for him．


10．．．g5！11．fxg5 Vg $^{2} \uparrow$
Black has standard dark－square compensation and is already better．

B） $7 . \mathrm{d} 5$


This is the more ambitious and critical move， but at the same time White burns some bridges， as now the g 7 －bishop has free play along the a1－h8 diagonal．

## 

This active continuation has served Black well over the years．

We will analyse B1）9．蹨 $\mathbf{d} \mathbf{2}$ and B2）10．息d3． The former is more solid but allows Black to eliminate the g3－bishop．The latter is the move White would like to play，but it allows a strong tactical operation．

9．f3 led to a nice win for me in the following




 21．⿷匚 c1 悤xa4干

罟a2－＋I went on to win in Monell Camarasa－ Kotronias，Solsones 2004．Obviously White did not play optimally in the opening，but the game is a good example of how rapidly things can go downhill for White once he loses one or both of his bishops．

$$
\text { B1) 9. 蹓d2 h } 5 \text { ! }
$$



Black terminates White＇s potentially dangerous dark－squared bishop and drastically enhances the radius of his own．White＇s two main tries are

10． Oge2 $^{2}$ is best answered with $10 \ldots$ ．．f5！（the more conservative $10 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 7$ is also promising） and Black was already better in J．Nielsen－Lane， Penrith 2003.

B11）10．鬼e2
This is the top engine recommendation，seeking to clarify matters on the kingside as quickly as possible．

## 10．．． $0 x$ xg 11．hxg3



11．．． D $^{1}$ 7
Black has a solid position with good queenside prospects，an evaluation that the following analysis and examples confirm．

## 12．${ }^{\text {g }} \mathrm{c} 1$ ！？

A logical move，removing a potential target from the long diagonal and overprotecting c3．

12． 9 f 3 has a catastrophic record，with White scoring only $1 / 6$ from this position（interestingly， most of those games arose via a different move order）．One example continued：12．．． Qb $^{\text {b }} 13.0-0$悤d7

 exd5 17．cxd5 0－0－0 18． 0 g6 刿he8 19． 0 f4 tatb



This occurred in Pedersen－Jakobsen，Naestved 1985，and now $22 \ldots \mathrm{c} 4!\mathrm{N} \mp$ would have created an unassailable post on c 5 for the black queen， with much the better game for the second player．

I also checked：
12.44 b6

12．．．a6！？N $13.0 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~b} 5 \infty$ also deserves attention． 13．${ }^{2} \mathrm{c} 1$ 公a 4

Once again，Black has more than one good option．
13．．．思d7！？14．b3 0－0－00 was a more fighting continuation seen in Fortuny－Olano Aizpurua，corr． 2010.


14．${ }^{\circ} \times \mathrm{xa} 4$
 leads to double－edged play．


Black was doing fine in Cyborowski－ M．Roos，Germany 2008.

12．．．a6 13．a3 潅b8！ 14.44


From this position，14．．．gxf4 15．gxf4 b5 16．f3 occurred in Milov－Kazhgaleyev，France 2002，when $16 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 4!\mathrm{N}$ would have led to a dynamically balanced game．However，there is no real need to exchange on $£ 4$ so soon．Instead Black should prefer：

## $14 . . . \mathrm{b} 5!\mathrm{N} \rightleftarrows$

Black has an improved version of the aforementioned game．For example：

## 15．0．f3

適f8 $\mp$ White＇s extra pawn is virtually meaningless， while Black has a powerful initiative on the queenside and on the dark squares．

## 15．．．b4 16．它d1 蹓a4戸

White is still not really threatening to take on g 5 ，and the additional tension on the kingside favours Black．One reason is that White must take into account the possibility of ．．．g4 at any moment；another is that Black can consider ．．．$勹 \mathrm{f} 8$－g6，putting pressure on the f4－pawn in a way that stops White from defending with g2－g3．

B12）10．悤d3


It looks most natural to develop the bishop to this square；the only drawback is that Black does not have to exchange on g3 yet，so White will not get the open h－file and support for f2－f4．

## 

This looks best，trying to prove the h 5 －knight a liability．

12．0－0？！allows Black to build a powerful attack： $12 . . .0 \mathrm{yg} 3$ 13．0xg3 h5！14．思e2 g4

 $\mathrm{g} 3 \rightarrow$ White had problems on the kingside in Dzagnidze－Arakhamia－Grant，Rijeka 2010.

## 12．．．息xe5 13．h4

After 13．g3 g4 14．a3 悤d7 15．0－0 蹓c7 16．b4 Af6 $\rightleftarrows$ Black was at least equal in Ermenkov－ Forsberg，Golden Sands 2013.


## 

Black gets ready to trade the knight before it becomes a problem．

## 14．hxg5 hxg5 15．罥xh8 $\dagger$ 恩xh8 16．0－0－0 息d7 17．罟h1 0－0－0

Black has equalized and perhaps a bit more than that．A correspondence game continued：


## 

19．．． $0 x d 3+!$ ？N 20 ． 4 und3 f5 could be a slightly better way to create problems．

## 20．宽xe2 息d4 21．a3 f5 22．exf5 息xf5 23．罥h5息d724．f3 息f6＝／戸

Black kept the more pleasant position， although White was able to hold the draw in Demakov－V．Ivanov，corr． 2010.

B2）9．思d3


Having seen that the alternative is rather harmless for Black，it＇s time to consider the move White would ideally like to play．It certainly seems natural to protect the e4－pawn while developing a piece，but it allows an impressive tactical shot．

## 9．．．©xe4！

A brilliant idea which fully justifies Black＇s preceding play．It bears the stamp of approval of such legendary players as Fischer and Geller．

## 

That＇s the point！Black is going to recover his piece and the only question is whether White can exploit the situation of the king on e8； apparently，he can＇t．


The two main tries are B21）13．${ }^{\text {D }} \mathbf{e} 2$ ？！and B22）13．${ }^{\text {man }} \mathbf{c} 1$ ．
 fxg3 17．0xg3？！包d7 Gyurkovics－Feher， Hungary 1993.

13．h4？！won＇t make any headlines in view of：


$16 . . \mathrm{b} 5$ 17．cxb5 a6个 Black＇s queenside pawns are becoming extremely dangerous．

## 

White＇s set－up fails to impress after the simple reply：


## 15．．．fxg3！N

Surprisingly，this has not been tried in any of the three games so far．

## 15．．．！g8！？

Despite Black＇s fine results with this move，the evaluation is not so clear after：
16．hxg5 hxg5


17．期e1！
As played against me by Jean－Pierre Le Roux． The alternatives are grim for White：
a） $17.0 x f 4$ ？refuses to admit that White should strive to defend rather than attack；after


 －Geller，Moscow 1966.
b）17． $\mathrm{⿷}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{B}} 2$ ？is even worse，and it leads to immediate capitulation after 17．．．f3！－＋．


## 17．．．fxg3N

White will get good compensation for his pawn as he had added an open h－file to his assets，but there is nothing better．
After 17．．．0 d7？！18．悤h2 © 5 19．0c3！

in Le Roux－Kotronias，France 2005，and only a combination of miracles allowed me to turn the game around and eventually win it．
18．0．0xg3 a d 8 ！？
The black king should seek safety on the queenside．
19．㯖g1 d7


20．妴h7 $\overline{\bar{\infty}}$
White has ongoing compensation for his pawn in a complicated position．

## 16． V $^{\mathrm{xg} 3 \mathrm{~g} 4 \text { ！}}$

This move is the key point，which apparently everyone has missed．Black closes the h－file， making the h1－rook passive，but there is a further tactical justification．

## 

Here it is！The g－pawn is offered to accelerate Black＇s development．

## 18．䠦xg 4 分 5 19．政e2



## 19．．．0－0 20．畇g1 緮f4干

Black clearly has the better game，so we may dismiss 13． 0 e2？！as absolutely ineffective from White＇s point of view．

## B22）13．${ }^{\text {島 }} 1$



Spassky＇s choice and a natural one at that， removing the rook from its vulnerable position in order to liberate the white queen．

## 

I prefer this move as it seems safest．
That said， $13 \ldots$ ．．． m b b 2 ？ is an interesting alternative． 14．© © 2 f 4 15．蹓d2 蹓f6 $16 . \mathrm{h} 4$ was seen in Blednow－Bohak，corr．1979，when Black should have preferred：




White remains a pawn down for insufficient compensation．

## 14．h4

This was Spassky＇s attempt to breathe life into White＇s position－although it had also occurred in a couple of relatively unknown games beforehand．

Misplacing the queen by 14 ． $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{m} h 5 \dagger$ ？！proved insufficient after 14 ．．．罒d8 $15 . \mathrm{h} 4 \mathrm{~g} 416$ ．思d 3 f 4

 Novosibirsk 1976.

## 14．．．g4！

Keeping the h－file closed．At this point White has a choice，but I can＇t get over the impression that he is struggling to maintain equality．We will focus on B221）15．．⿷⿱⿴囗十心夊心夊3，as tried by Spassky， followed by B222）15．${ }^{\circ}$ e2 2 ．

15．思xf5N 悤xf5 16．©e2 is a different way for White to return the piece．Play could continue：


favours Black in view of his extra pawn） 17．．．b5！18．cxb5 a6 19．a4 axb5 20．axb5 品a5 Black＇s pieces are more active and the pawns on b5 and d5 are weak．

15．悤 c 2
This results in a typically cramped position for White after：
15．．．f4 16．．⿷a4 $\dagger$

 better for Black．
16．．．浐d8


## 17．思xf4N

17．思h2？！g3干 did not help White in Chilingirova－Brendel，Wuppertal 1990.


迫c724．0f4 品ae8 25．
White＇s position may be tenable，as he has kept a good knight for defensive purposes，shielding the f－file．That said，he still faces a tough battle for a draw：he is a pawn down with a clumsily placed rook on h2，and he will constantly have to be on guard against possible exchange sacrifices on $£ 4$ ．

## B221）15．． d 3 f4



It is obvious to me that White is already facing serious difficulties．

## 

Spassky＇s choice in his 1992 match against Fischer is clearly not a solution to White＇s problems，but it is hard to suggest anything constructive．

I looked at 16．思h2，yet after 16．．．g3 17． $\mathbf{y}$ ff3 gxh2
 and an extra pawn，making the position clearly favourable for him．

Perhaps Matanovic＇s suggestion of 16. 思xf4！？踩xf4 17．g3，with the idea of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{e} 2$－f4，is White＇s best attempt：


Nevertheless，after 17．．．ieff 18．© e 2 d7 19． 0 f4 4 e5 20．超g2 宽d7 I do not believe White has enough compensation for the pawn．The fortress created by the knight along the f－file is rather flimsy；Black can try to tear it apart with an exchange sac or improve his own knight by ．．．乌f3－d4，planning ．．．e7－e5．

## 

We can safely end our opening analysis here， but I will include the remaining moves of the historically significant game．

## 18．． m c 2 ？！

18．Wivc c2 had to be tried，although White still does not have the slightest compensation for the missing pawn．

## 18．．． Q $^{\text {d }} 7$ ！

Fischer is happy to return the extra pawn in order to seize the initiative．





 33．dxe6 葸c6 34．古f1 宽xf3 0－1

Spassky－Fischer，Sveti Stefan／Belgrade（16） 1992.

## B222） $15 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{e} 2$



Ten years after the aforementioned game， Vadim Milov attempted to improve White＇s play with this move．However，it doesn＇t change the overall assessment of the position as good for Black．

## 15．．．fxe4

Black is temporarily two pawns up．Even though White will recover one of them in the near future，Black＇s preponderance on the light squares means that the white centre is going to crumble．

## 

 untangle，but White still faces some problems after：



 maintains an endgame edge due to his extra pawn．



So far we have followed Milov－Gallagher， Las Vegas 2002．Black enjoys an extra pawn and better development，and he could have increased his advantage with：


## 21．．． Q $^{\text {f } 6!~} \mathrm{~N} 22$ ．故h2

22． $\mathrm{Y}^{2}$ e3 is well met by 22 ．．．悤d3干．

## 22．．．b5！23．cxb5 Qxd5 $^{\text {x }}$

Black has a serious advantage；White will find it hard to deal with the mobile pawn mass in the centre．

## Conclusion

In this chapter we continued our examination of
 If I had to play this position with White，I would be inclined to choose the unambitious $7 . \mathrm{dxc} 5$ ， in order to avoid giving Black a free hand on the queenside．7．．． $\begin{gathered}\text { mara a } \\ \text { 5！is a good reply which ensures }\end{gathered}$ the recovery of our pawn，but I would like to remind of you of an important point：I generally prefer to recapture on c5 with the d－pawn rather than the queen，in order to establish an outpost on d4．Probably 8．䐴d2 is a better choice than 8．崽d3，but objectively there is nothing for White．

7．d5 is the most popular and ambitious move， and thus the main line of the chapter，but it leads to generally unpleasant positions for White． Several games，including a famous encounter between Spassky and Fischer，have demonstrated that Black has rich tactical play and better chances overall．Summing up，this was a most pleasant chapter from Black＇s perspective，with many chances to play for a win after either of White＇s options on move 7.
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