Contents

Key to symbols used & Bibliography 4
Introduction 5

**f4 Systems**
1 Mikenas Attack 9
2 The Four Pawns Attack 18
3 Flick-Knife Attack – 8...\(\text{bd7}\) 32
4 Flick-Knife Attack – 8...\(\text{fd7}\) without 9.a4 42
5 Flick-Knife Attack – 9.a4 \(\text{Wh4}\)† 49
6 Flick-Knife Attack – 9.a4 0–0 62

**e4 Systems**
7 Classical Variation with 9...\(\text{e8}\) 79
8 Classical Variation with ...\(\text{g4}\) 101
9 Modern Main Line 117
10 Modern Main Line – without 9...b5 127
11 Kapengut 144
12 7.\(\text{ge2}\) 160
13 7.\(\text{d3}\) and 8.\(\text{ge2}\) 165
14 Sidelines 184

**Lines without e4**
15 7.\(\text{f4}\) \(\text{g7}\) 192
16 7.\(\text{f4}\) a6 205
17 Fianchetto System 221
18 Knight’s Tour Variation 248
19 Lines with an early \(\text{g5}\) 261
20 4.g3 269
21 Rare 4th moves 290

Index of Variations 298
Introduction

By the Author

Welcome to the Modern Benoni, one of the most dynamic openings in all of chess. Make no mistake: this book is for players who enjoy the wild and adventurous side of the game. Modern Benoni devotees are forced to play on the edge, and will often have to live with positional weaknesses and/or sacrifice material to avoid being driven into passivity. On the plus side Black gets active piece play with rich counterattacking possibilities, perhaps more so than in any other opening against 1.d4.

The Benoni got its name from a German manuscript published in 1825 entitled Ben-Oni. For the rest of the 19th century it was rarely seen and had a poor reputation. Then in the early 1900s it was adopted by a few maestros of the day, most notably Frank Marshall. Even the great Alekhine played it a few times, despite having stated it was not a good opening. It was not until the late 1950s that the Modern Benoni really became popular, thanks to the great Mikhail Tal. The Benoni, with all its dynamism and counterattacking potential, suited Tal's aggressive tactical style perfectly. His games say more than my words ever could, so let’s whet our appetites by seeing a couple of them.

**Bukhuti Gurgenidze – Mikhail Tal**

Moscow 1957

1.d4  ♙f6 2.c4 c5 3.d5 e6 4.♗c3 exd5 5.cxd5 d6 6.♗f3 g6 7.e4  ♙g7 8.♗e2 0–0 9.0–0

Gurgenidze employs the Classical System, which can be found in Chapters 7 and 8.

9...♗e8 10.♗d2 ♙a6 11.♗e1 ♙c7 12.a4 b6

At this stage it is hard to predict where Black’s counterplay will come from, but just watch how quickly the situation changes.
13.\textit{c2}
   Nowadays the main move is 13.f4.

13...\textit{g4!} 14.h3?
   White must have been asking himself where
the knight could be going. Playing against Tal,
perhaps he should have been more cautious.

14...\textit{xf2}!!
   A brilliant combination! Suddenly White's
king is in grave danger.

15.\textit{xf2} \textit{h4}† 16.\textit{f1} \textit{d4} 17.\textit{d1}

17...\textit{wh3}!
   Taking on h3 with the bishop is promising,
but doing it with the queen is so much
stronger, not to mention spectacular.

18.\textit{f3}
   18.gxh3? \textit{xh3} is mate of course.

18...\textit{h2} 19.\textit{e3} \textit{f5}!
   The quote from \textit{The Lord of the Rings}, “Even
the smallest person can change the course of
the future”, applies just as much to pawns as it
does to hobbits.

20.\textit{dc4} \textit{fxc4} 21.\textit{xe4} \textit{a6}!
   The bishop finds a perfect home. There are
too many pins, open files and diagonals for
White to deal with.

22.\textit{f3} \textit{e5} 23.\textit{a3} \textit{eae8} 24.\textit{d2} \textit{xd5}!
   An excellent demonstration of team play.
After the earlier sacrifice Tal has simply
brought his pieces to good squares and the
white position soon crumbles to dust.

25.\textit{xd5}† \textit{xh3} 26.\textit{e2} \textit{xe3} 27.\textit{exe3}
   \textit{xc4}†
   0–1
   Any player would be proud to win such a
game, and Tal’s play from 1957 showed he
was way ahead of his time. No wonder his
opponents became scared to sit down opposite
him before a game! Here is one more game
from the following year.
Yuri Averbakh – Mikhail Tal

Riga 1958

1.d4 ¤f6 2.c4 e6 3.¤c3 c5 4.d5 exd5 5.cxd5 d6 6.e4 g6 7.¤e2 ¤g7 8.¤f3 0–0 9.0–0 ¤e8
10.¤c2 ¤a6 11.¤f4 ¤b4
Another knight attack, this time from the other side.

12.¤b1

12...¤xe4!

This move must have come as a huge psychological shock to Averbakh. In fact it is not fully correct, but over the board it caused problems that were too much for White to handle. The fact that Black can even contemplate giving up a piece in this way says something about the wealth of possibilities offered by the Benoni.

13.¤xe4 ¤f5 14.¤fd2 ¤xd5 15.¤xd6?
15.¤g3 would have made it harder for Black to prove his compensation.

15...¤f6 16.¤f3 ¤xe4 17.¤xe4 ¤xe4 18.¤xe4 ¤xd6
Black has emerged a pawn up with a more active position.

19.¤c2 ¤e7 20.¤f3 ¤ae8 21.¤ad1
The opposite-coloured bishops give White realistic drawing possibilities, so it is interesting to observe how Tal breaks the defence.

21...¤d4 22.a4 b6 23.b3 ¤e5 24.¤d2 h5 25.¤e2 ¤xe2 26.¤xe2 h4 27.¤h1 ¤f4 28.g3 ¤f6 29.¤d1 ¤d8 30.¤g4?
Under heavy pressure, White commits a fatal oversight.

30...¤xf2! 31.¤e2

31...¤d2!!
It is only fitting that the game is decided by tactics.
32...£e8†
32...£xd2 £c6† leads to mate.

32...£g7 33.gxh4 £d4 34...h3 £d3 35...g2 £d1
0–1

About this book

I have been playing the Modern Benoni for about a decade. Before then I played the King’s Indian, so it was a natural transition as I was already used to having my bishop on g7. For my own reasons I have usually preferred the move order 1.d4 g6, intending to transpose to a Benoni after a subsequent c2-c4. Of course I understand most readers will prefer a more conventional move order, so I have taken the position after the standard moves 1.d4 £f6 2.c4 c5 3.d5 e6 as my starting point for the book.

A friend of mine once told me “Every time when I see your pawn on d6 it makes me sick!” However, he also admitted he wished he could get such interesting positions from the black side of the Queen’s Gambit Declined, which is his favoured defence. The thing I like about the Modern Benoni is that it almost always gives rise to a tense position where both players must make concrete decisions. I have also observed that there are many players who do not enjoy facing the Benoni, as White finds it hard to control the game the way he often does in most 1.d4 openings.

In this book I have departed slightly from the strict ‘repertoire approach’ of giving one recommendation against each of the opponent’s options. Against most major options I have provided coverage of two or more lines; the Benoni is a versatile beast and I hope the reader will find it useful to have multiple weapons in his arsenal. In some cases I have even spent time covering a less promising alternative, in order to provide some theoretical background and highlight the reasons that led me not to recommend something different. No doubt some readers would argue in favour of a more detailed ‘one recommendation’ approach, but I am happy with the final balance of detail versus choices. I also made the decision to focus my attention on the most popular and critical attempts for White to handle each major variation. In almost every early position there are probably ten or more uncommon moves that have been played at some point, but does anyone really need a recommendation against every possible move that they’re unlikely ever to face?

I hope you will enjoy reading this book and putting its recommendations into practice. Remember one thing: the Modern Benoni is not an opening that can be played by book alone. Preparation has its place of course, but more important are the resolve, wit and ingenuity of the brave warrior who puts his pawns on c5 and d6. Thus I invite you to summon your inner Tal, turn the page and get started.

Marian Petrov
Burgas, Bulgaria
February 2013
Chapter 1

f4 Systems

Mikenas Attack

Variation Index

1.d4 ♘f6 2.c4 c5 3.d5 e6 4.♘c3 exd5 5.cxd5 d6 6.e4 g6 7.f4

7...♗g7 8.e5

A) 8...dxe5
B) 8...♘fd7 9.b5 dxe5 10.♘d6† ♘e7 11.♘xc8† ♘xc8 12.♘f3 ♘e8

B1) 13.f5
B11) 13...♗b6N
B12) 13...e4!
B2) 13.fxe5

A) note to 11...♗f6
B) note to 12...♘e8
B12) after 18.♘e6
1.d4 əf6 2.c4 e5 3.d5 e6 4.əc3 exd5 5.cxd5 d6 6.e4 g6 7.f4

The first six chapters of the book will focus on this aggressive continuation, which continues to be a source of headaches for a lot of Benoni players.

7...g7 8.e5

This is known as the Mikenas Attack. It is rarely played, but Black should be prepared. The two main replies are A) 8...dxe5 and B) 8...əfd7.

A) 8...dxe5

This is an acceptable choice for players who don't wish to study long variations.

9.fxe5 əfd7 10.e6 fxe6 11.dxe6

11...əf6

Now White must go into an endgame. I believe this is the best move, although I will mention three other options:

The tempting 11...əh4†?! does not work:
12.g3 əxc3† 13.bxc3 əe4† (13...əe7 14.əf3 əxe6† 15.əe2 0–0 16.0–0 əg7±) 14.əe2 əxc2† 15.əxe2 əf8 16.e7± White has good compensation.

11...əf8 occurred in Alfonso Nogue – Almeida Quintana, Badalona 2009. Here White has a natural improvement:

12.əxd8†N əxd8 13.əg5† əe8 14.əb5† əc6 15.0–0–0 əxe6 16.əf5∞
Finally there is:
11...\textit{c}7
This has been the most popular move, but I don't like it much.

12.\textit{d}5
Now you must enter a long forced line:
12...\textit{xe}6† 13.\textit{e}2 \textit{xe}2† 14.\textit{xe}2 0–0
14...\textit{e}5 15.\textit{f}3 \textit{d}6 16.\textit{h}6±
15.\textit{c}7 \textit{c}6 16.\textit{xa}8 \textit{b}4 17.\textit{d}1
17.\textit{f}3 18.\textit{d}1 \textit{xa}1 19.\textit{c}4† \textit{h}8
20.\textit{c}1 \textit{a}6= 21.\textit{xa}6 \textit{e}5 22.\textit{xe}5?? \textit{xa}5+–
After the text move Black needs to fight hard just to stay in the game, while White has many ways to stay a piece up for one or two pawns.

17...\textit{d}3†
17...\textit{e}5 18.\textit{b}3 \textit{f}3† 19.\textit{f}1 \textit{d}5
20.\textit{b}3 \textit{e}6 21.\textit{g}5 \textit{xa}8 22.\textit{ed}1 \textit{c}4
23.\textit{xc}4 \textit{e}3† 24.\textit{xc}3 \textit{xc}4 25.\textit{g}1 \textit{xb}2
26.\textit{h}4±
18.\textit{e}2 \textit{f}2 19.\textit{e}3 \textit{xh}1 20.\textit{f}3 \textit{c}4 21.\textit{e}2 \textit{f}6 22.\textit{xa}8 \textit{d}5 23.\textit{xa}7

23.\textit{g}4!N
I prefer this over 23...\textit{f}4†± as played in Marcotulli – Fredriksen, e-mail 2002.

24.\textit{b}6 \textit{f}4† 25.\textit{d}2
25.\textit{f}2\textit{g}2 26.\textit{e}4 \textit{xf}3 27.\textit{xf}3 \textit{h}4
28.\textit{xc}4†
25...\textit{g}2 26.\textit{e}1 \textit{d}8† 27.\textit{c}1 \textit{h}6†
28.\textit{b}1 \textit{e}3 29.\textit{b}3
29.\textit{h}3? \textit{f}5–+

13.\textit{e}3N
13.\textit{g}5?! \textit{xe}6 14.0–0–0† \textit{bd}7 15.\textit{f}3
h6 left White struggling to demonstrate compensation in Moehring–Hesse, Annaberg-Buchholz 1965.

13...\textit{xe}6 14.\textit{xc}5=
The queenless middlegame is balanced.
This is the main line, and leads to more complex play.

9.\texttt{d}b5

9.\texttt{d}e4 dxe5 10.\texttt{d}d6† is the same.

9.e6?! is worse: 9...fxe6 10.dxe6 \texttt{b}b6 11.\texttt{d}e4 d5 12.\texttt{d}b5† (12.\texttt{x}c5 \texttt{g}d7) 12...\texttt{c}6 13.\texttt{x}c5 This position occurred in Kratochvil – Hradecky, Frydek Mistek 2005, and now 13...\texttt{e}7!N would have left Black clearly better.

Finally, 9.exd6 0–0 10.\texttt{f}3 \texttt{f}6 11.\texttt{e}2 \texttt{xd}6 12.0–0 \texttt{bd}7= is not dangerous.

9...dxe5 10.\texttt{d}d6†

In return for the sacrificed pawn White has managed to give an early check, forcing our king to stay in the centre for a while.

10...\texttt{e}7 11.\texttt{x}c8†

11.\texttt{b}5? is deservedly rare: 11...\texttt{e}8 12.d6† \texttt{f}8 13.\texttt{c}7 For some reason the natural 13...\texttt{h}4†!N has not been played so far, but it brings Black a clear advantage as shown after: 14.g3 (14.\texttt{c}2 \texttt{f}6 15.\texttt{d}f3 \texttt{g}4 16.\texttt{x}e8 \texttt{xe}8†) 14...\texttt{ex}f4† 15.\texttt{f}2 \texttt{fx}g3† 16.\texttt{g}2 \texttt{e}4† 17.\texttt{f}3 \texttt{gx}h2†

12.\texttt{f}3

White needs to catch up on development. 12.d6†?! is premature: 12...\texttt{f}8 13.\texttt{b}3 \texttt{c}6 14.\texttt{c}2 (14.\texttt{c}4 \texttt{b}6 15.\texttt{d}3 \texttt{d}7 16.\texttt{e}4 \texttt{e}8 17.0–0 \texttt{xf}4† Nogues – Bertorello, Villa Martelli 2008) 14...\texttt{e}4 15.\texttt{g}5 \texttt{d}4† 16.\texttt{c}4 The counterattack is not working.

12.\texttt{e}8

This is the main move, but there are a couple of promising alternatives.

12...\texttt{e}4?

Keeping the e- and f-files closed is a rare but nice idea which seems to work well.
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13. \( \text{g}5 \)

Few games have reached this position, so there is room to explore. Black must certainly avoid 13... \( \text{e}8?? \) 14. \( \text{d}6\text{†} \) \( \text{f}8 \) 15. \( \text{d}5\text{†} \). However, it is worth checking both a) 13... \( \text{b}6 \) and b) 13... \( \text{d}4\text{†} \).

a) 13... \( \text{b}6 \)

This has been the most common choice, although I only found six games in which it was played.

14. \( \text{d}6\text{†} \)

The untested 14. \( \text{b}3\text{†} \) \( \text{N} \) may be White’s best bet: 14... \( \text{f}8 \) 15. \( \text{e}2 \) \( \text{e}4 \) 16. \( \text{d}4\text{†} \) \( \text{f}6 \) 17. \( \text{g}5 \) \( \text{f}6 \) 18. \( \text{b}5 \) \( \text{b}4\text{†} \) 19. \( \text{xe}4 \) \( \text{b}6 \) 20. \( \text{xe}5 \) \( \text{xe}5 \) 21. \( \text{f}4\text{†} \) \( \text{b}4\text{†} \) 22. \( \text{xd}4 \) \( \text{xd}4 \) 23. \( \text{xd}7 \) \( \text{d}3\text{†} \) 24. \( \text{f}1 \) \( \text{xf}4\text{†} \)

b) 13... \( \text{d}4\text{†} \) \( \text{N} \)

An ambitious but risky move which I found.

14. \( \text{b}3 \)

14. \( \text{c}4\text{†} \) looks dangerous, but after 14... \( \text{b}6 \) I think Black has enough resources to repel the attack: 15. \( \text{d}6\text{†} \) (15. \( \text{b}3 \) \( \text{e}8 \) 16. \( \text{xe}4 \) \( \text{xd}5\text{†} \) 15... \( \text{f}8 \) 16. \( \text{xe}7 \) \( \text{d}6 \) 17. \( \text{c}6 \) \( \text{e}8 \) 18. \( \text{f}5 \) \( \text{g}5 \) 19. \( \text{g}4 \) \( \text{g}7 \) 20. \( \text{xe}5 \) \( \text{xf}5 \) 21. \( \text{gx}f5 \) \( \text{h}5 \) 22. \( \text{g}3 \) \( \text{g}8 \) 23. \( \text{c}3\text{†} \) \( \text{h}8 \) 24. \( \text{xd}4\text{†} \) \( \text{c}4 \) 25. \( \text{e}5\text{†} \) \( \text{g}7\text{†} \)

14... \( \text{f}6 \) 15. \( \text{d}6\text{†} \)

15. \( \text{e}6 \) \( \text{b}6\text{†} \)

15... \( \text{d}6\text{†} \) 16. \( \text{f}7\text{†} \) \( \text{c}7 \) 17. \( \text{xe}8 \) \( \text{xe}8 \) 18. \( \text{c}5 \)

Black has excellent compensation.

19.0–0–0 \( \text{c}6\text{†} \)

Black has a second promising alternative in the form of:

12... \( \text{d}8\text{†} \)

The rook is going directly after the d5-pawn.

21. \( \text{f}4\text{†} \) \( \text{b}4\text{†} \)

Better than 21... \( \text{g}7 \) as in Nguyen Chi Minh – Schabanel, Issy les Moulineaux 2002.
To summarize, it seems Black really is spoiled for choice at move 12. Let us now return to the main line of 12...e8 which, as we will soon see, is also promising for him.

From this position it is worth considering both B1) 13.f5 and B2) 13.fxe5.

**B1) 13.f5**

This move has only been seen in a few games, but it is my engine's first choice. For instructive purposes it is worth analysing B11) 13...b6!N, but the strongest move for Black is B12) 13...e4!.

The following alternatives are less appealing:


13...gxf5 looks playable but risky: 14.h4 f6 15.c2 f4 16.f5 d6 17.c7 xe8 xe8

**B11) 13...b6N**

Although this is not the move I recommend, I decided to include it mainly for its entertainment value.

14.d6† f8 15.b5
25.\texttt{cxb6 \texttt{h8} 26.g3} \\
26.h3 \texttt{xf3} 27.\texttt{exf3} 28.\texttt{c1} g4↑

26...\texttt{e3} 27.\texttt{g4} \texttt{f5} 28.\texttt{bxa7} \texttt{xf3†} 29.\texttt{g1!} \\
29.\texttt{g2} \texttt{xh2†} 30.\texttt{xh2} \texttt{xf1†}+

\texttt{15.xh7†?}!
Let's return from fantasy land to concentrate on the stronger move.

\texttt{14.\texttt{g5} \texttt{f8}}

\texttt{15.\texttt{xh7†}?!}
This is excessively greedy. White loses too much time for the sake of an insignificant pawn, while most of his pieces remain undeveloped.

15.fxg6 hxg6 16.\texttt{e2} should be about equal, for instance: 16...\texttt{d4} 17.\texttt{f1} \texttt{f6} 18.\texttt{xf6} \texttt{xf6} 19.\texttt{h7†} \texttt{g7} 20.\texttt{xf6} \texttt{xf6} 21.\texttt{h6} This was Feller – Marzolo, Marseille 2009, and here Black should have played:

\texttt{21...\texttt{g5}N} 22.\texttt{b3} \texttt{g6} 23.\texttt{xg5} \texttt{xg5} \\
24.\texttt{g3†}=

B12) 13...\texttt{e4}!
White’s knight has made a long journey, but just look at his other pieces. Now the punishment comes:

18...\texttt{b}c6!!N

This beautiful move emphasizes development above all else!

It is worth comparing this move with the following practical example:

18...c4 19.e2?

19.xg7N xg7\texttt{+} was correct.

Now in Szczesniak – Radecki, corr. 1992, Black could have used the same idea as in our main line, in an even more favourable setting:

19...\texttt{bc}6!N

This should decide the game, for instance:

20.xg7 xg7 21.dxc6 d3\texttt{+} 22.d2 d8 23.f1 c3\texttt{+} 24.c2 xc6--

19.xg7 xg7

Now we reach a comical situation where all of White’s pieces are on their starting positions!

20.dxc6 d3\texttt{+}

21.d2

No better is: 21.xd? exd\texttt{+} 22.f1 c4!

23.d2 xc6 24.h6\texttt{+} g8 25.e3 e5 26.d4

21...\texttt{f}2 22.b3 e3\texttt{+} 23.c2 e2 24.c3\texttt{+} h7 25.f6!

Only this move can save White.

25...f5\texttt{+}

25...xh1=
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B2) 13.fxe5

26.\textit{xf5} gxf5 27.\textit{f4} bxc6 28.\textit{g1} exf1=\textit{w}
29.\textit{xf1} \textit{c2}† 30.\textit{b3} \textit{d8}†
White is still not altogether out of trouble.

18.\textit{d4}

18.\textit{xd7?!} \textit{c5}† 19.\textit{h1} \textit{xd5} 20.\textit{g4} f5 21.\textit{h3} \textit{xd7} 22.\textit{hxh7} \textit{f7} 23.\textit{ad1} (23.\textit{h6}†) 23...\textit{ad8} 24.\textit{xd7}† \textit{xd7}† Yuferov – Kapengut, Soviet Union 1976.

The text move leads to an endgame.

18...\textit{f5}

This is my preferred square for the rook.

19.\textit{xc4} \textit{xc4} 20.\textit{xc4} \textit{xb2} 21.\textit{ad1} \textit{e5}!

It is important to challenge the strong bishop, even though Black's kingside structure now gets destroyed.

22.\textit{h6}† \textit{e7} 23.\textit{xf5} \textit{xf5} 24.g3 \textit{g8} 25.\textit{f1} \textit{g6}= Kirsanov – Smirnov, corr. 2002.

Conclusion

The Mikenas Attack is not too dangerous, and Black has the luxury of more than one good reply. Players wishing to avoid heavy theory can play 8...dxe5 which leads to a balanced endgame. Those who desire a full-blooded fight will get their wish after 8...\textit{f6}, and I would encourage the reader to investigate the alternatives analysed at move 12, as they may well be as strong or stronger than the main line.